# **MINUTES**

# CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

http://www.catc.ca.gov April 26-27, 2006 Fresno, California

# Wednesday, April 26, 2006

#### **GENERAL BUSINESS (#1 – 4)**

1.

1. • Roll Call

1.1

The meeting of the California Transportation Commission was called to order at 2:15 p.m. on Wednesday, April 26, 2006, at the Fresno City Hall, City Council Chambers, 2600 Fresno Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Fresno, California.

Roll call was conducted. The Commissioners in attendance were as follows:

Members Present: Marian Bergeson, Chair

John Chalker James Ghielmetti R. Kirk Lindsey Joseph Tavaglione

Members Absent: Jeremiah Hallisey

Esteban Torres

Assemblymember Jenny Oropeza, Ex-Officio

Senator Alan S. Lowenthal, Ex-Officio

 Make all updates to rosters, letterhead, website, etc., as needed, for the two newly appointed Commissioners Larry Zarian and Bob Alvarado.

**ACTION: Mary Lea Filbert** 

2. • Approval of Minutes for March 15-16, 2006 Meeting 1.2

Commissioner Bergeson noted a correction to the March 15-16, 2006 minutes. On Page 20, Item #68, change the word from "annul" to "rescind."

Commissioner Ghielmetti moved to approve the March 2006 minutes, as modified. Commissioner Tavaglione seconded the motion, which carried 5-0. (Commissioners Hallisey and Torres were absent)

 Correct minutes on Page 20, as adopted at the April Commission meeting, to replace "annul" with the word "rescind".

**ACTION: Terri Rosnow** 

3. • Commission Reports 1.3

Commissioner Ghielmetti reported on the Real Estate Advisory Panel (REAP) conference call meeting held on April 11 to discuss concerns regarding the 710 Corridor. Commissioner Lindsey reported on the April 6-7 Central Valley Partnership meeting in Fresno. The main topics of discussion were State Route 99 and transportation being an integral partner in the Valley.

1.4

Tab # / Time Item Description Ref. #	!
--------------------------------------	---

Commissioners' Meetings for Compensation

Chair Bergeson asked for approval.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to approve the March 2006 Meetings for Compensation. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 5-0. (Commissioners Hallisey and Torres were absent)

• Report by Agency Secretary and/or Deputy Secretary 1.5

Executive Director John Barna introduced Jim Bourgart, who was recently appointed as the Deputy Secretary for Transportation at the Business Transportation and Housing Agency (BTH). Mr. Bourgart reported that Secretary McPeak sends her best regards, and that he plans to regularly attend the Commission meetings. Chair Bergeson welcomed Mr. Bourgart.

# 2006 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) – TIMED ITEM (#6)

Presentation of the 2006 State Transportation 4.3a.
 Improvement Program (STIP) Staff Recommendations
 TIMED ITEM: Wednesday, April 26, 2006 at 2:15 p.m.

Deputy Director David Brewer gave an overview of the staff recommendations, process and statute requirements for the 2006 STIP. Mr. Brewer reviewed the Resolution that was distributed as a "pink" at the meeting, which included attachments listing corrections, late changes and clarifications. These were changes made since the April 7 recommendations were distributed.

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, noted this was his first time through the STIP process and thanked Mr. Brewer for his invaluable wisdom. Mr. Chittenden stated the Commission's staff recommendations included most of the recommendations that were included in the ITIP (Interregional Transportation Improvement Program). Commissioner Lindsey and Chair Bergeson also expressed their thanks to Mr. Brewer and staff.

The following persons addressed the Commission about the staff recommendations:

Barbara Pyle, Campaign for Sensible Transportation (Santa Cruz)
Judy Case, Fresno County Supervisor
Harry Armstrong, Mayor of Clovis and Chair of Fresno Transportation Authority
Ginger Gherardi, Ventura County Transportation Commission
Tony Grasso, Executive Director, San Bernardino Associated Governments
Riccardo Gaudino

 Meet with City of Clovis Mayor, Harry Armstrong, regarding possible solutions for Highway 180 East in Fresno County.

**ACTION: John Barna** 

At the July Commission meeting present Public Transportation Account (PTA)
 Amendments for notice and possible adoption at the September Commission meeting. (July and September Agenda Items)

**ACTION: Ross Chittenden/David Brewer** 

7. • Report on Route 99 Business Plan 5.2

Alan McCuen, Acting Director for Caltrans, District 6, introduced Carol Whiteside who gave a PowerPoint presentation "Highway 99: The Main Street of the San Joaquin Valley." Ms. Whiteside explained that the Great Valley Center promotes the social and economic well being of the San Joaquin Valley. She thanked all of the

<u>Tab # /</u>	Item Description	Ref. #
<u>Time</u>		

people who helped with this effort that has united the region. Mr. McCuen stated that the rational for designating Route 99 as an Interstate was to help recruit businesses to the region. He gave special thanks to Commissioner Lindsey for his participation.

Chair Bergeson said it was remarkable to get eight counties working together and thanked everyone involved for their leadership in producing a fabulous report, hoping that other regions in the State will follow their example.

Report back every six months regarding the status of the Route 99 Business Plan.
 (Biannual – November-December/June-July Agenda Items)

**ACTION: Alan McCuen/Carol Whiteside** 

 Request the Route 99 Task Force to share Rest Stop competition design ideas with the Commission and Caltrans.

**ACTION: John Barna** 

#### REGIONAL AGENCIES AND RURAL COUNTIES REPORT (#8 - 9)

8. • Report by Regional Agencies Moderator 1.8

Steve VanDenburgh, Regional Agency Moderator, thanked the Commission staff for their hard work on the 2006 STIP and for making themselves available for meetings and conference calls. He also thanked the Commission for their continued support of Planning, Programming and Monitoring (PPM) allocations. Congratulations were extended to Jim Bourgart, BTH, for his recent appointment.

9. • Report by Rural Counties Task Force Chair 1.9

Kathryn Mathews, RTPA Moderator, invited Jim Bourgart, BTH, to attend the Rural Counties Task Force meeting scheduled for May 19. The rural counties were pleased about the allocations that will be resuming tomorrow and in June. Ms. Mathews expressed appreciation to Chief Deputy Director David Brewer for the development of the STIP, noting that all e-mails sent to Mr. Brewer were answered promptly and on point.

Mr. Mathews will be working with Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, on the El Dorado Transportation Enhancement project where there was a 10% oversight, noting that she will be coming to the Commission in the future to request an extension.

**10.** • Tolling Technologies 4.5

Randy Iwasaki, Caltrans Chief Deputy Director, reviewed this item, noting that AB 2032, High-Occupancy Toll (HOT) lane demo project, is proving to be a success. Information regarding Electronic Tolling Collection (ETC) and transponders were presented. Mr. Iwasaki noted that tolling generates revenue and HOT lanes sell capacity. Commissioner Lindsey asked how they planned to sell this idea to the taxpayers when they have already paid taxes to build the highways. Mr. Iwasaki answered that the public would be paying extra for the reliability to get to where they are going on time. Jim Bourgart, BTH, stated that HOT lanes are an important part of the Governor's program to improve capacity, generate revenue, and it gives motorists a choice, adding that the main difference is HOT lanes are a charge not a tax. The revenue generated will go to pay for facilities and transit projects.

**11.** • Caltrans' Five Year Capital Plan 4.8

Glenn Yee, Caltrans, presented the Department's proposed FY 2007-08 Facilities Infrastructure Plan. Mr. Yee reviewed the project status, statutory requirements, and five-year structure and capital outlay plans. An error was noted in the table; the correct total for the SHOPP is \$33,000,000 not \$36,067,000.

<u>Tab # /</u>	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>
Time		

Commissioner Lindsey asked how priorities are established for SHOPP dollars, particularly for emergencies. Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, responded that a priority list is followed and facilities are a low priority when very little revenues are available. However, a few of the facilities are safety projects and would be closed for safety reasons, if Caltrans does not go forward with the project. Commissioner Bergeson requested a list be prepared of all the facilities in each Commissioner's area.

• Request that the Department report back by letter to Commissioners and staff regarding the Department of Finance's revisions and approval of Caltrans' Five-Year Capital Plan.

**ACTION: Robert Chung** 

4.7

Adoption of 2006 Aeronautics ProgramResolution G-06-05

Deputy Director Robert Chung briefly reviewed this item and recommended the Commission approve the Adoption of the 2006 Aeronautics Program.

Mary Frederick reported that the Aeronautics Program will include funds for federal Airport Improvement Program (AIP) grants. There are funds available for five safety and two airport land use plans. The Aeronautics Program is proposed at \$2.7 million over the next three years. Ms. Frederick asked for Commission approval.

Commissioner Tavaglione moved to approve the 2006 Aeronautics Program. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 5-0. (Commissioners Hallisey and Torres were absent)

• Annual Review of the Rate for Local Government 4.6 Matching of California Aid to Airport Program (CAAP)

Mary Frederick reviewed this item, which will return for action next month.

• Aeronautics Account Pending Allocations 4.2b. 14 Projects totaling \$2,525,200.

Deputy Director Robert Chung recommended that all projects remain on the Pending Allocation List, because there is no funding available. Mary Frederick, Caltrans, reported that she is working closely with the Federal Aviation Administration to ensure project consistency. No action was taken.

• Route 5 in Sacramento County – Construct 2.2b.(1) interchange and extend Cosumnes River Boulevard in Sacramento (DEIR).

Deputy Director Robert Chung reviewed Items #15 and #16. These are draft reports that require Commission comments. Since neither of the two projects is fully funded, Mr. Chung recommended that the Department work with the locals to find full funding for these projects. A vote was taken for this item under Item #18.

• Route 5 in San Joaquin County – Construct interchange 2.2b.(3) and extend Sperry Road near Stockton (DEIR).

This item was presented under Item #15 and the vote was taken under Item #18.

Tab # / Time	Item Description	<u>Ref. #</u>
-----------------	------------------	---------------

• Route 41 in Fresno County – Widen roadway near 2.2c.(4) Easton (ND).

Resolution E-06-08

Deputy Director Robert Chung reviewed Items #17 and #18; and recommended that the Commission approve these projects for consideration of future funding.

• Route 70 in Butte County – Upgrade from expressway 2.2c.(7) to freeway and construct interchange near Oroville (FEIR).

Resolution E-06-11

In summary, Mr. Chung recommended approval for Items #15 and #16 with the comment that the Department work with the local jurisdictions to fully fund the projects and the Commission approve Items #17 and #18 for consideration of future funding.

Commissioner Ghielmetti moved to approve Items #15 through #18, as recommended by staff. Commissioner Tavaglione seconded the motion, which carried 5-0. (Commissioners Hallisey and Torres were absent)

Adoption of Revised TCRP Guidelines
 Resolution G-06-04, Replacing Resolutions G-00-23,
 G-03-12, and G-03-14.

Assistant Deputy Director Annette Gilbertson reported on the Revised TCRP guidelines. Staff recommended approval and asked the Department to distribute a copy of these Guidelines to applicant agencies. Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, agreed to this request and enthusiastically recommended approval.

Commissioner Tavaglione moved to approve adoption of the revised TCRP guidelines, as recommended staff. Commissioner Chalker seconded the motion, which carried 5-0. (Commissioners Torres and Hallisey were absent)

Distribute copies of the Revised TCRP Guidelines to applicant agencies and interested parties.

**ACTION: Ross Chittenden/Annette Gilbertson** 

**ADJOURN** to Reconvene on April 27 at 8:30 a.m.

The meeting of the California Transportation Commission adjourned at 4:34 p.m.

<u>Tab # /</u>	Item Description	Ref. #
<u>Time</u>		

# Thursday, April 27, 2006

**8:30 a.m.** • Roll Call

1.1

The meeting of the California Transportation Commission was called to order at 8:30 a.m. on Thursday, April 27, 2006, at the Fresno City Hall, City Council Chambers, 2600 Fresno Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Fresno, California.

Roll call was conducted. The Commissioners in attendance were as follows:

Members Present: Marian Bergeson, Chair

John Chalker James Ghielmetti

Jeremiah Hallisey (Absent at time of roll call, arrived at 8:35 a.m.)

R. Kirk Lindsey Joseph Tavaglione

Larry Zarian (Appointed 4/27/06)

Members Absent: Bob Alvarado (Appointed 4/27/06)

Esteban Torres

Assemblymember Jenny Oropeza, Ex-Officio

Senator Alan S. Lowenthal, Ex-Officio

Chair Bergeson introduced and welcomed newly appointed Commissioner Larry Zarian. Following Item #20, Executive Director John Barna read all changes, as noted on the pink – "Changes to CTC Agenda."

Welcome to Fresno

Assistant City Manager John Lewis welcomed the Commission, noting that Mayor Alan Autry was unable to attend today's meeting. Mr. Lewis stressed how transportation and transportation infrastructure are key to California and the Central Valley, and the Valley's transportation needs are key to the State of California. Chair Bergeson thanked Mr. Lewis for the beautiful facility and their hospitality.

 Report by Fresno COG and Transportation Authority on 1.7 Self Help Status (Current Measure C and Proposed Extension)

Deputy Director Tony Boren announced that Barbara Goodwin was ill and not able to attend the meeting. Mr. Boren reported on the current Measure C program and the 2006 Extension Expenditure Plan. He stressed the need to look at alternative ways to move people.

Randall Iwasaki, Caltrans, announced that Mike Leonardo will be leaving Caltrans to work for the City of Clovis. Mr. Iwasaki presented him with a Caltrans' Lifetime Achievement Award and thanked him for his years of service. Mr. Leonardo said it was a very difficult decision for him to leave and thanked everyone.

• Update on 2005-06 Winter Storm Damage to State 3.7 Highway Facilities

Mike Miles, Caltrans' Deputy Director of Maintenance and Operations, reported on the 2005-06 winter storms disaster. California received up to 200% of normal precipitation this season, which caused \$275 million in damage and 38 counties to be declared disaster areas. Several examples of recent storm damage were shown. The FHWA has agreed to make Emergency Relief reimbursements at 100% for emergency work done within the first 180 days of the disaster and at the normal federal-state share thereafter. Mr. Miles explained the use of G-11 authority in 2004-05 vs. 2005-06. The Department is striving to reduce the number of emergency project

<u>Tab # /</u>	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>
Time		

supplemental allocations. Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, explained that California has not received reimbursement, because the focus for federal disaster relief has been in the Gulf region, noting that the FHWA appears to be focusing on the larger events. In a recent appropriations bill, approximately \$300 million was approved for past events up to four years old. Mr. Chittenden said he hopes to see a reimbursement by this summer. Commissioner Ghielmetti asked if there was anything the Commission could do to speed things up. Mr. Chittenden said the Department is counting on the assistance of State representatives in Washington. The requests have been approved and now we have to wait for the check. Chair Bergeson noted that some locals did restoration work on their own and found out later they were not eligible for reimbursement. Mr. Chittenden added that there were a lot of storm damaged areas outside the counties that were declared disaster areas.

- **22.** Caltrans Monthly FY 2005-06 Finance Report 3.1
  - Status of Construction Contract Award for Traffic Relief Program Projects, per FY 2005-06 Allocation Plan Criteria (#23 25)

Norma Ortega, Caltrans, presented the Caltrans Monthly Finance Report. Ms. Ortega reviewed the cash balances, noting there was over a \$400 million difference in the State Highway Account from the last meeting. The key difference was due to a transfer of approximately \$200 million to the Toll Bridge Seismic Account. Ms. Ortega noted an accounting error in the January balance of the Transportation Investment Fund. This error was due to a timing issue. Federal reimbursements are slow, but they expect to be on target by the end of the year. A five-year look at the State Highway Account and Federal Trust Fund were reviewed, and they expect to be on track with their forecast. Commissioner Lindsey complimented Ms. Ortega and Cindy McKim for regaining credibility, noting that he has been a big critic of the Caltrans' financial management.

 With the beginning of the new Fiscal Year, report quarterly instead of monthly for the Finance Report and report at the same time as all the other quarterly reports, or if no meeting is scheduled provide a quarterly report within 45 days of the end of quarter. Also, report back on a monthly basis if any significant changes occur before the end of quarter. (Quarterly Agenda Item)

**ACTION: Norma Ortega** 

-- Monthly Status of Construction Contract Award for 3.3a.
 State Highway Projects, per FY 2005-06 Allocation Plan Criteria

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, reviewed the construction contract awards for the Department's STIP and SHOPP projects. Project awards totaling \$1.66 billion have been allocated since July 2005. All STIP projects except for two have been awarded, and the goal is to award 85% of the projects. Commissioner Lindsey questioned why more SHOPP projects have been awarded than STIP. Mr. Chittenden responded that there is tremendous pressure to keep STIP projects going, but the SHOPP projects were easier to find funds to keep projects moving.

• In future status Report on Construction Contract Award for State Highway Projects, include in the Table the total dollars of voted projects.

**ACTION: Ross Chittenden** 

-- Monthly Status of Construction Contract Award for 3.3b.
 Local Assistance STIP Projects, per FY 2005-06
 Allocation Plan Criteria

Terry Abbott, Caltrans, reviewed the contract awards for 36 locally-administered STIP projects; 24 projects have been awarded, and the status of the remaining 12 projects was given. Representatives for the San Gabriel project plan to come to the Commission in June to request a 12-month extension. Commissioner Ghielmetti asked for the status on the San Gabriel project. There was a lengthy discussion regarding the policy when local agencies need to come before the Commission to request an extension.

<u>Tab # /</u>	Item Description	Ref. #
<u>Time</u>		

Executive Director John Barna suggested this item be further discussed at the June meeting. Mr. Abbott said he will notify San Gabriel of today's discussion.

 In order to track and flag upcoming extension requests, place all 4-month and 6-month warning reports and actual extension requests together on the agenda.

#### ACTION: Stephen Maller/Terry Abbott/Ross Chittenden/Robert Chung

Status of Construction Contract Award for Traffic 3.3c.
 Congestion Relief Program Projects, per FY 2005-06
 Allocation Plan Criteria

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, reported that contracts have been awarded for all but four Traffic Congestion Relief Program projects, three are requesting extensions and the City of Clovis is requesting a de-allocation and plan to return at a later date. Commissioner Lindsey questioned why these were not on the March agenda, noting this is the same problem as was discussed under Item #24. Mr. Chittenden responded that he will work to change this rule. Executive Director John Barna noted that TCRP funds do not revert.

### <u>Informational Report on Allocations Under Delegated</u> Authority (#26 – 27)

• Informational Report on Emergency: G-11 Allocations. 2.5f.(1) 36 Projects totaling \$37,320,000.

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, reviewed the Emergency G-11 projects status; a total of 36 projects received allocations totaling \$37.3 million, 32 of those projects were storm related, and all but 2 projects were eligible for federal reimbursement. Commissioner Bergeson asked what the net amount is due to the State in emergency funds. Mr. Chittenden said he didn't have this total, but would get this information to the Commissioners. Deputy Director Stephen Maller informed that just because a project is eligible for a federal reimbursement does not guarantee that we will ever see any dollars. Randy Iwasaki, Caltrans, added that Federal reimbursement always depends on an appropriation by Congress, which is about four years behind. The key is to get the congressional delegation united. Randy Iwasaki, Caltrans, added that we are competing with other disasters and Congress determines whether to appropriate. Commissioner Ghielmetti suggested the Commission write a letter to the California delegation committee in Washington to get Federal G-11 reimbursement. Chair Bergeson said this issue of not being compensated needs to be addressed.

• Informational Report on Supplemental Funds for 2.5f.(5)
Previously Voted Projects: G-05-04 Allocations. Two
Projects totaling \$18,307,000.

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, reported on two STIP projects that were previously voted and need additional funding. They are old estimates and the bids were greater than the estimates. These bids were determined to be fair and have now been awarded.

<u>Tab # /</u>	Item Description	Ref. #
<u>Time</u>		

TIMED 2006 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) – 10:00 a.m. TIMED ITEM (#28)

**28.** • Adoption of the 2006 STIP

4.3b.

Resolution G-06-03

TIMED ITEM: Thursday, April 27, 2006 at 10:00 a.m.

Chief Deputy Director David Brewer presented this item. The presentation of the 2006 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Staff Recommendations was heard yesterday under Item #6. Mr. Brewer noted changes in the draft resolution for adoption of the 2006 STIP and recommended the Commission's approval. Chair Bergeson noted that until the May revise and the budget trailer bill passes in June, it will be difficult to know the funding amount available. This amount can be more or less than the Fund Estimate. Steven VanDenburgh, Regional Agency Moderator, asked whether any federal dollars are included in the STIP projects. Mr. Brewer responded that it is not a STIP requirement to federalize a project, adding that except for Transportation Enhancement projects, federal dollars are not programmed in the STIP

Commissioner Lindsey moved to approve the adoption of the 2006 STIP, as recommended by staff. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried (6-0-1). (Commissioner Zarian abstained and Commissioners Alvarado and Torres were absent).

**29.** • STIP Amendment 06S-002

2.1b.(2)

The Placer County Transportation Planning Agency (PCTPA) and the City of Rocklin are requesting this amendment. The PCTPA and the City of Rocklin requests the Commission approve this amendment for AB 3090 Reimbursement. The City of Rocklin proposes to use local funds to replace a total of \$18,432,000 in RIP and IIP funds programmed in FY 2007-08 for Construction on the Route 80 Sierra College Interchange project (PPNO 0151D), with later reimbursement programmed in FY 2008-09 2010-11.

Chief Deputy Director David Brewer reviewed this STIP amendment for the City of Rocklin and recommended it be placed on the Consent Calendar for June.

**30.** • STIP/SHOPP Pending Allocation List

4.2a.

25 SHOPP Projects totaling \$85,611,000; 107 STIP

Projects totaling \$45,488,000.

--50 STIP projects totaling \$18,313,000 were voted.

Resolution FP-05-79

Deputy Director Stephen Maller reviewed the STIP/SHOPP Pending Allocation List and the 2005-06 Allocation Plan criteria and the three STIP allocation plan categories. Category 1 projects always allocated, Category 2 projects allocated until money is gone, and Category 3 projects not allocated. Because of favorable 2006-07 budget developments, the Commission can resume allocating Category 2 projects off the pending list and can possibly allocate funds to Category 3 projects in June. There are currently 25 SHOPP projects totaling \$85 million and 107 STIP projects totaling \$45.5 million on the Pending Allocation List. Mr. Maller recommended that the Commission allocate funds to all Category 1 and Category 2 projects on the Pending Allocation List and keep the Category 3 projects on the Pending Allocation List for now.

Dale Ramey, San Luis Public Works, explained why Project #45 in San Luis Obispo, which is currently identified as a Category 3 project on the pending list, should be identified as a Category #2 project. Mr. Maller agreed Project #45 should be changed to a Category #2 project, as requested by Mr. Ramey.

<u>Tab # /</u>	Item Description	Ref. #
<u>Time</u>		

Commissioner Ghielmetti moved to approve staff's recommendation including the reclassification of Project #45 in San Luis Obispo County from Category #3 to Category #2. Commissioner Chalker seconded the motion, which carried 6-0-1. (Commissioner Zarian abstained from voting, stating he did not have an opportunity to review the book items prior to joining the Commission. Commissioners Alvarado and Torres were absent).

#### **CALTRANS REPORT (#31)**

**31.** • Report by Caltrans' Director and/or Deputy Director 1.6

-- Federal Reauthorization Bill - SAFETEA-LU

Randy Iwasaki, Caltrans Chief Deputy Director, presented Senior Transportation Planner Debra Pritchard, Caltrans, with an award to honor her for ten years of service, particularly for her work in the preparation of the agenda and Caltrans book items for the Commission meetings.

Mr. Iwasaki announced that bids have been received for the Bay Bridge. American Bridge was awarded the contract for \$1.43 billion on April 18. Updates on the following topics were presented: Implementation of the Federal Re-Authorization Bill (SAFETEA-LU), the Highway Trust fund, legislation affecting transportation, the disparity study, and delivery contracts (69 projects were delivered at \$813 million). Mr. Iwasaki noted that, due to local concerns, the Department will not be requesting an allocation for the Fig Garden Siding Project in the City of Fresno.

**32.** • Burlington Northern Santa Fe Rail Siding Project 5.1

Brian Calhoun, Fresno City Council Member, welcomed everyone to Fresno. Mr. Calhoun said he was pleased with Caltrans' decision not to allocate funds to the Fig Garden Siding project. Mr. Calhoun reviewed Resolution 2006-108, "A Resolution of the Council of the City of Fresno, California, Proclaiming It's Opposition to the Proposed Burlington Northern and Sante Fe Railroad and Caltrans Project Seeking To Create a Double Track Through Northwest Fresno," dated March 28, 2006. This resolution stated the City's official opposition to the project and requested that the Commission deny any requests for funding this double track project. Mr. Calhoun stated if Measure C passes, the City of Fresno will continue to work hard for consolidation of two railroads onto one track. Deputy Director Robert Chung stated that withdrawing the Fig Garden Siding project will result in \$13.5 million in lapsed funds that would be available for re-programming in the STIP for any of the three intercity passenger rail lines.

#### INFORMATION CALENDAR (#33 – 37) IC

Deputy Director Stephen Maller presented the Information Calendar agenda Items #33 through #37. There were no issues or individual presentations.

**33.** IC • STIP Amendment 06S-001 2.1b.(1)

The City of Truckee, with concurrence of the Nevada County Transportation Commission (NCTC), is requesting this amendment. The City of Truckee and NCTC propose to change the implementing agency for the Project Approval and Environmental (PA&ED) component on the Route 89/Union Pacific Railroad Grade Separation Widening project (PPNO 3L43) from the City of Truckee to the Department.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

Tab # / Item Description	<u>Ref. #</u>
--------------------------	---------------

**34. IC** • Informational Report on Minor Projects: G-05-05 2.5f.(4) Allocations. 22 Projects totaling \$11,592,000.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

**35.** IC • Monthly Report on Status of Projects Previously Voted 3.2 but Not Awarded

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

**36. IC** • Monthly Report on Projects Amended into the SHOPP 3.4 by Department Action

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

IC • Monthly Report on Local Assistance STIP Projects 3.5
 Previously Voted but not Awarded

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

#### **END OF INFORMATION CALENDAR**

## **CONSENT CALENDAR (38 – 77)**

CC

Following Item #20, Executive Director John Barna reviewed the changes, as noted on the pink – "Changes to CTC Agenda." The Consent Calendar changes were, as follows: Item #43 was withdrawn prior to the meeting. Item #58 - Relinquishment Resolution R-3635 was withdrawn prior to the Commission meeting; Item #62 - Projects #1, #2, and #6 were withdrawn prior to the meeting; Item #63 - Project #12 was withdrawn prior to the meeting; Item #65 - Right-of-Way estimate was increased to \$1,767,000; Item #66 - Programmed amount was increased to \$664,000; and Item #72 – Project #4 was withdrawn prior to the meeting.

Deputy Director Robert Chung recommended approving the Consent Calendar, Items #38 through #77, with the following notations or modifications: No comments on Items #49 through #57; which are Notices of Preparation; No comments on Items #51 and #52. These are environmental documents for projects that are fully funded. Staff recommended approval of the resolutions under Items #53 through #57. These resolutions are approval of projects for future consideration of funding and the projects are fully funded. Item #72 is pulled from the Consent Calendar and should be discussed separately after Agenda Item #86. Item #72 includes two Traffic Congestion Relief Program application amendments, as requested by NCRA (Projects #10 and #11), which require Commission approval; and Item #59 is a resolution of necessity that requires 6 affirmative votes for approval.

Commissioner Ghielmetti moved to approve the Consent Calendar, as modified and recommended by staff. Commissioner Tavaglione seconded the motion, which carried 6-0-1. (Commissioner Zarian abstained and Commissioners Alvarado and Torres were absent).

Roll call was conducted for Item #59 and passed 6-0-1, as follows:

AYES: Commissioners Chalker, Ghielmetti, Hallisey, Tavaglione, Bergeson and Lindsey (6)

ABSTAIN: Commissioner Zarian (1)

ABSENT: Commissioners Alvarado and Torres (2)

Tab Tim	_	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>
38.	cc	• STIP Amendment 04S-085 The City of Ripon (City) is requesting this amendment. The City proposes to reprogram \$1,925,000 of FY 2005-06 RIP Construction from the South Frontage Road and Wilma Overcrossing project (PPNO 3K48) to FY 2005-06 Construction on the Main Street and Stockton Avenue Rehabilitation and Widening project (PPNO 3K47). This amendment also proposes to increase the project scope for the Main Street and Stockton Avenue Rehabilitation project. San Joaquin Council of Governments (SJCOG) concurs with this request.	2.1a.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

• The Department requests a TCRP Application Amendment to expand the project scope for Project #4 – Route 680; add northbound and southbound HOV lanes over Sunol Grade, Milpitas to Route 84 in Santa Clara and Alameda Counties. This amendment will also redistribute a net total of \$1,000,000 from PS&E and Construction to R/W, and update the project schedule and funding plan.
(Related Items under 2.6e.(2) and 2.6e.(4).)
Resolution TAA-06-18, Amending Resolution TA-01-09

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

• The Capitol Corridors Joint Powers Authority requests a TCRP Application Amendment to transfer \$33,000 in TCRP funding from Project #9.2 – Capitol Corridor; Emeryville Station track and platform improvement, to Project #9.4 – Capitol Corridor; Oakland to San Jose intercity track improvements for Construction. This amendment will also update the project funding plan for each project. (Related Items under 2.6e.(1) and 2.6e.(3).) Resolution TAA-06-19, Amending Resolution TAA-05-38

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

41. CC • The Department requests a TCRP Application 2.1c.(3) Amendment to program a net total of \$15,400,000 in new TCRP funding for Project #18 – Route 101; widen eight miles of freeway to six lanes from Novato to Petaluma. This amendment will also update the project schedule and funding plan. (Related Item under 2.6e.(2).) Resolution TAA-06-20, Amending Resolution TA-01-09

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

**42. CC** • The Department requests a TCRP Application 2.1c.(4) Amendment to program \$56,900,000 in new TCRP funding for Construction for Project #40 − Route 10; add HOV lanes on San Bernardino Freeway over Kellogg Hill, Route 605 to Route 57 in Los Angeles County. This amendment will also redistribute a net total of \$4,951,000

<u>Tab # /</u>	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>
<u>Time</u>		

from PS&E and R/W to Construction and update the project schedule and funding plan. (Related Items under 2.6e.(2) and 2.6e.(3).) Resolution TAA-06-21, Amending Resolution TAA-04-11

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

43. CC

The Department and the Riverside County Transportation Commission are requesting a TCRP Application Amendment to transfer \$12,000,000 in TCRP funding from Project #62 — Route 91; add HOV lanes — Adams Street to University Avenue, to Project #62.1 — Route 91; add HOV lanes — University Avenue to Route 60/91/215 Interchange for Construction. This amendment will also program \$4,300,000 in new TCRP funding for Project #62.1 for Construction and update the project schedule and funding plan for each project. (Related Items under 2.6e.(1) and 2.6e.(2).)

Resolution TAA-06-22, Amending Resolutions TAA-05-01 and TAA-05-25

This item was withdrawn prior to the meeting.

• The Department requests a TCRP Application 2.1c.(6) Amendment to transfer \$23,100,000 in TCRP funding from Project #83.1 – Route 15; Managed Lanes - Transit Elements, to Project #83.2 – Route 15; Managed Lanes - Freeway elements for Construction. This amendment will also redistribute \$3,663,000 from R/W to Construction, and update the project schedule and funding plan for each project. (Related Item under 2.6e.(2).) Resolution TAA-06-23, Amending Resolutions TAA-05-34 and TAA-04-11

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

45. CC • The Department requests a TCRP Application Amendment to redistribute \$20,000,000 from Construction to R/W, for Project #84 – Route 52; build four miles of new six-lane freeway to Santee, Mission George to Route 67 in San Diego County. This amendment will also revise the project scope, and update the project schedule and funding plan. (Related Items under 2.6e.(1) and 2.6e.(2).) Resolution TAA-06-24, Amending Resolution TA-01-07

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

46. CC • The Department requests a TCRP Application 2.1c.(8) Amendment to update the project schedule and funding plan for Project #86 - Route 905; build new six-lane freeway on Otay Mesa, Route 805 to Mexico Port of Entry in San Diego County. (Related Item under 2.6e.(1).) Resolution TAA-06-25, Amending Resolution TA-01-09

<u>Tab # /</u>	Item Description	Ref. #
<u>Time</u>		

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

47. CC • The City of Fresno requests a TCRP Application Amendment to revise the project scope for Project #98 – Peach Avenue; widen to four-lane arterial and add pedestrian over crossings for three schools in Fresno County. This amendment will also redistribute a net total of \$150,000 from Construction to PS&E (\$50,000) and to R/W (\$100,000), and update the project schedule and funding plan.
 (Related Item under 2.6e.(1) and 2.6e.(2).)
 Resolution TAA-06-26, Amending Resolution TA-01-07

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

48. CC • The County of Shasta requests a TCRP Application 2.1c.(10) Amendment to program a net total of \$2,767,000 in new TCRP funds for R/W and Construction for Project #128 -Airport Road; reconstruction and intersection improvement project. This amendment will also redistribute \$36,000 from PS&E to R/W, revise the project scope, and update the project schedule and funding plan. (Related Item under 2.6e.(2).) Resolution TAA-06-27, Amending Resolution TA-01-07

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

• Environmental Matters – Notice of Preparation of an 2.2a.(1) Environmental Impact Report (EIR): Route 101 in Monterey County – Construct interchange near San Juan Bautista (NOP).

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

• Environmental Matters – Notice of Preparation of an 2.2a.(2) Environmental Impact Report (EIR): Route 180 in Fresno County – Route adoption near Fresno (NOP).

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

• Environmental Matters – Comments on Documents in 2.2b.(2) Circulation (Draft EIR): Route 18 in San Bernardino County – Replace bridge near Big Bear Lake (DEIR).

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

• Environmental Matters – Approval of Projects for 2.2c.(1)
Future Consideration of Funding: Route 175 in Lake
County – Widen shoulders near
Cobb (ND).
Resolution E-06-05

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

<u>Tab</u> Tim		Item Description	<u>Ref. #</u>
53.	CC	Environmental Matters – Approval of Projects for Future Consideration of Funding: Route 99 in Butte County – Rehabilitate roadway near Biggs (ND). Resolution E-06-06	2.2c.(2)
This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.			
54.	CC	• Environmental Matters – Approval of Projects for Future Consideration of Funding: Route 28 in Placer County – Construct water quality treatment improvements and operational improvements near Tahoe City (ND).	2.2c.(3)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

Resolution E-06-07

• Environmental Matters – Approval of Projects for 2.2c.(5)
Future Consideration of Funding: Route 395 in Inyo
County – Rehabilitate roadway near Little Lake (ND).
Resolution E-06-09

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

• Environmental Matters – Approval of Projects for 2.2c.(6)
Future Consideration of Funding: Route 5 in San Joaquin
County – Construct lane extension near Lathrop (ND).
Resolution E-06-10

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

• Environmental Matters – Approval of Projects for 2.2c.(8)
Future Consideration of Funding: Route 101 in Monterey
County – Construct operational and safety improvements
near Prunedale (FEIR).
Resolution E-06-12

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

**58. CC** • Two One Relinquishment Resolutions 2.3c.

-- 04-Son-101-PM 15.4 Route 101 in the County of Sonoma Resolution R-3634

-- 04-Son-101-PM 53.9/56.2 Route 101 in the County of

Sonoma

Resolution R-3635

Relinquishment Resolution R-3635 was withdrawn prior to the Commission meeting. This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.4b.

#### 59. CC <u>SIX AYE VOTES REQUIRED</u>

• 38 Resolutions of Necessity Resolutions C-19326 through C-19363

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

Tab # / Time	Item Description	<u>Ref. #</u>
60. CC	<ul> <li>Director's Deeds</li> <li>Items #1 through #18</li> <li>Excess Lands - Return to State: \$13,376,267</li> <li>Return to Others: \$0</li> </ul>	2.4d.(1)
This item	was approved on the Consent Calendar.	
61. CC	<ul> <li>Rescinding Resolution</li> <li>Union Pacific Railroad Company, et al.</li> <li>04-Ala-880-PM 27.7</li> </ul>	2.4e.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

**62. CC** • Financial Allocation for Eight Five Minor Projects 2.5a. totaling \$3,842,000 \$2,543,000. Resolution FP-05-68

CR-137, Rescinding Resolution C-19210

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar, as modified. Projects #1, #2, and #6 were withdrawn prior to the Commission meeting, as noted on the pink – "Changes to CTC Agenda."

• Financial Allocation for 42 11 SHOPP Projects totaling 2.5b.(2) \$9,048,000 \$8,291,000 amended into the SHOPP by Departmental action.

Resolution FP-05-69

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar, as modified. Project #12 was withdrawn prior to the Commission meeting, as noted on the pink – "Changes to CTC Agenda."

## 64. CC ADDED BY ADDENDUM

2.5b.(4)

• Financial Allocation for Two SHOPP Projects totaling \$1,694,000 amended into the SHOPP by Departmental action, and One previously programmed SHOPP Project for \$4,664,000.

Resolution FP-05-77

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

• Financial Allocation for Three State-Administered STIP 2.5c.(1)
Projects on the State Highway System totaling
\$6,161,000.
Resolution FP-05-70

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar, as modified.

A correction to the Agenda Vote List and Book Item Attachment were noted on the pink – "Changes to CTC Agenda."

• Financial Allocation for Nine Locally-Administered STIP 2.5c.(3)
Projects off the State Highway System totaling
\$3,290,000, plus \$2,238,000 from other sources.
Resolution FP-05-71

<u>Tab # /</u>	<u>Item Description</u>	Ref. #
<u>Time</u>		

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar, as modified.

A correction to the Agenda Vote List and Book Item Attachment were noted on the pink – "Changes to CTC Agenda."

• Financial Allocation for Eight Locally-Administered 2.5c.(5)

Transportation Enhancement Projects totaling \$3,689,000, plus \$1,703,730 from other sources.

Resolution FP-05-73

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

• Financial Allocation for Two Locally-Administered STIP 2.5c.(6)
Planning, Programming and Monitoring Projects totaling
\$49,000.
Resolution FP-05-74

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

• Financial Allocation for One Locally-Administered STIP 2.5c.(7)

Transportation Enhancement Project on the State
Highway System for \$358,000.

Resolution FP-05-75

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

**70. CC** • Financial Allocation for Two Local Rail/Transit STIP 2.6a.(1) Project totaling \$1,600,000. Resolution MFP-05-12

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

**71. CC** • Financial Re-Allocation totaling \$12,456,000 in lapsing 2.6e.(1) TCRP funds for Six TCRP Projects. Resolution TFP-06-08

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

**72. CC** • Financial Allocation for 44 **10** TCRP Projects totaling 2.6e.(2) \$99,089,000 \$82,789,000 in new TCRP funding. Resolution TFP-06-09

Item #72 was taken off the Consent Calendar and was heard following Item #86. Assistant Deputy Director Annette Gilbertson recommended approval of this item with the following condition for Project #10 (#32.4 North Coast Railroad Authority (NCRA) - Upgrade rail line to class II or III standards, and #11 (#32.5 NCRA – Environmental remediation) that instead of a cash advance, as requested by the NCRA, that a progress payment process be utilized instead.

Project #4 (Traffic Congestion Relief Program Project #62.1 – Route 91) was withdrawn prior to the Commission meeting, as noted on the pink – "Changes to CTC Agenda."

<u>Tab # /</u>	Item Description	Ref. #
<u>Time</u>		

Commissioner Tavaglione moved to approve the allocation requests with the condition for the NCRA projects to use progress payment process rather than cash advance. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 6-0-1. (Commissioner Zarian abstained and Commissioners Alvarado and Torres were absent)

**73. CC** • Financial Allocation Amendment for Three TCRP 2.6e.(3) Projects, no net change in funding. Resolution TFP-06-10

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

**74. CC** • Financial Allocation Amendment to de-allocate a net 2.6e.(4) total of \$1,715,000 in TCRP funds for Two TCRP Projects.

Resolution TFP-06-11

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

75. CC • Technical Change to Resolution FP-05-65, originally 2.9a approved March 16, 2006, in the amount of \$2,743,000 for 14 locally-administered STIP Transportation Enhancement projects. A technical correction is needed for Project #1 – Humboldt County Association of Governments (PPNO 0302D) and Project #3 – El Dorado Local Transportation Commission (PPNO 3120D) to correct the implementing agencies/allocation recipients.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

76. CC • Technical Change to Resolution FP-04-56, originally approved May 26, 2005, in the amount of \$14,259,000 for 36 locally-administered STIP Transportation Enhancement projects. A technical correction is needed for Project #27 – Los Angeles County (PPNO 3402) to correct the project description.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

Financial Allocation for Three State-Administered STIP
Transportation Enhancement Projects totaling
\$4,252,000, plus \$226,243 from other sources.
Resolution FP-05-72

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

#### **END OF CONSENT CALENDAR**

#### PROJECT BUSINESS MATTERS (#78 – 84)

**78.** • Financial Allocation for 12 SHOPP Projects totaling 2.5b.(1.1) \$33,121,000.

Resolution FP-05-

Deputy Director Stephen Maller presented Items #78 through #80, stating they do not meet the priority allocation criteria adopted by the Commission at its July 2005 meeting. Mr. Maller recommended the Commission defer allocation at this time and put these projects on the Pending Allocation List.

<u>Tab # /</u>	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>
Time		

Commissioner Lindsey moved to approve staff's recommendation to put the projects under Items #78 through #80 on the Pending Allocation List. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 6-0-1. (Commissioner Zarian abstained and Commissioners Alvarado and Torres were absent)

Financial Allocation for Eight Locally-Administered 2.5c.(3.1)
 STIP Projects off the State Highway System totaling \$8,656,000, plus \$70,000 from other sources.
 Resolution FP-05-

See Item #78.

• Financial Allocation for Two Locally-Administered STIP 2.5c.(5.1)

Transportation Enhancement Projects totaling \$995,000.

Resolution FP-05-\_\_\_\_

See Item #78.

# Financial Allocations for Projects that Exceed 20 Percent of the Programmed Amount)

# 81. ADDED BY ADDENDUM

2.5d.(1)

- Financial Allocation for STIP Projects that exceed 20 Percent of the Programmed Amount One project totaling \$12,000,000.

  Resolution FP-05-78
- -- 03-Sut-99 STIP project in Sutter County. Current programmed value of \$8,500,000 and current estimate of \$12,000,000, for an increase of 41% over the programmed amount.

Deputy Director Stephen Maller recommended the Commission allocate the requested funds under Items #81 through #83.

Commissioner Tavaglione moved to approve the three allocation requests. Commissioner Chalker seconded the motion, which carried 6-0-1. (Commissioner Zarian abstained and Commissioners Alvarado and Torres were absent)

• Financial Allocation for SHOPP Projects that exceed 2.5d.(2) 20 Percent of the Programmed Amount. One project for \$26,100,000.

Resolution FP-05-76

-- 03-Nev-20 — SHOPP project in Nevada County. Current programmed value of \$19,420,000 and current estimate of \$26,100,000, for an increase of 34% over the programmed amount.

See Item #81.

<u>Tab # /</u>	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>
Time		

## Financial Allocations for Supplemental Funds

• Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds for 2.5e.(1)
Previously Voted Projects. One STIP project for \$668,000 to Settle Construction Claims and Close Out Contract.

Resolution FA-05-14

-- 05-Mon-101 - STIP project in Monterey County. Current allocation is \$8,560,000. This request for \$668,000 to settle construction claims and close out contract results in an increase of 9% over the current allocation.

See Item #81.

Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds for 2.5e.(2)
 Previously Voted Projects. One STIP project for \$3,900,000 for Construction Claims.
 Resolution FA-05-15

- 08-Riv-215/60/91 - STIP (GARVEE) project in Riverside County. Current allocation is \$163,850,000. This request for \$3,900,000 for construction claims results in an increase of 2% over the current allocation.

This item was withdrawn by Caltrans prior to the meeting.

#### North Coast Railroad Authority (#85 – 88)

85. • The North Coast Railroad Authority requests a TCRP Application Amendment to redistribute \$239,000 from PS&E and \$51,000 from Construction to PA&ED, and update the project schedule and funding plan for Project # 32.4 – North Coast Railroad; upgrade rail line to class II or III standards. (Related Items under 2.6e.(2).) Resolution TAA-06-15, Amending Resolution TAA-02-03

Assistant Deputy Director Annette Gilbertson reviewed North Coast Railroad Authority's (NCRA's) request for a Traffic Congestion Relief Program application amendment for Project #32.4. Ms. Gilbertson stated that staff recommended approval with the following condition: That NCRA shall provide to the Department for approval the detailed scope of work prior to the execution of a supplemental contract.

Commissioner Tavaglione moved to approve the application amendment with the conditions, as recommended by staff. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 6-0-1. (Commissioner Zarian abstained and Commissioners Alvarado and Torres were absent)

Tab # / Time	Item Description	<u>Ref. #</u>
86.	• The North Coast Railroad Authority requests a TCRP Application Amendment to program \$2,954,000 in new TCRP funds for PS&E and Construction, and update the project schedule and funding plan for Project #32.5 – North Coast Railroad; environmental remediation projects. (Related Items under 2.6e.(2).) Resolution TAA-06-16, Amending Resolution TAA-02-03	2.1c.(12)

Assistant Deputy Director Annette Gilbertson reviewed North Coast Railroad Authority's (NCRA's) request for a Traffic Congestion Relief Program application amendment for Project #32.5. Ms. Gilbertson stated that staff recommended approval with the following conditions: That the NCRA shall provide written concurrence from all parties of the Environmental Consent Decree on the scope of work prior to beginning the work, and that NCRA is to provide written concurrence from the Environmental Consent Decree agencies that the work has been completed prior to NCRA forwarding invoices to the Department for payment.

Commissioner Ghielmetti moved to approve staff's recommendation with the added condition. Commissioner Tavaglione seconded the motion, which carried 5-1-1. (Commissioner Lindsey voted no, Commissioner Zarian abstained, and Commissioners Alvarado and Torres were absent)

Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Amendment Approval. One application amendment to de-program \$5,500,000 in TCRP funding and revise the project funding plan for Project #32.8 – North Coast Railroad; Sub-paragraph (h) fund repayment of federal loan obligations (Q-fund). (Related Item under 2.6e.(5).)
 Resolution TAA-06-17, Amending Resolution TA-00-01

Assistant Deputy Director Annette Gilbertson reviewed the application amendment to de-program and the allocation amendment to de-allocate TCRP funding under Items #87 and #88, respectively. Staff recommended approval and asked that Caltrans determine the interest earned in the account that could be returned to North Coast Railroad Authority.

Commissioner Chalker moved to approve staff's recommendations for Items #87 and #88. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 6-0-1. (Commissioner Zarian abstained and Commissioners Alvarado and Torres were absent)

• Financial Allocation Amendment to de-allocate 2.6e.(5) \$5,500,000 in TCRP funding from Project #32.8 – North Coast Railroad; Sub-paragraph (h) fund repayment of federal loan obligations (Q-fund). (Related Item under 2.1c.(13).) Resolution TFP-06-05

See Item #87.

 Report on Toll Bridge Program Oversight Committee 3.6 Activity

Deputy Director Stephen Maller added to the information on the toll bridge activity that was previously given by Randy Iwasaki, Caltrans, under Item #31. The contract award for \$1.43 billion makes this the largest Caltrans construction project in history. The contract was awarded on April 18, which was the 100<sup>th</sup> Anniversary of the 1906 earthquake. Mr. Maller stated there is an incentive clause in the contract that would pay the contractor \$50,000 for each day (up to one-half year) the project is built ahead of schedule.

<u>Tab # /</u>	Item Description	Ref. #
<u>Time</u>		

Executive Director John Barna distributed to the Commissioners replicas of the pen that was used to sign the award documents at this momentous occasion. Chair Bergeson commended Mr. Maller for his hard work, noting she has recently received many favorable comments about the project.

2.4d.(2)

90. • Director's Deeds

-- Items #1

Excess Lands - Return to State: \$1,100,000 \$1,064,000

Return to Others: \$0

Bimla Rhinehart, Caltrans, presented this Director's Deed, which was approved at the February 2006 Commission meeting, but was not consummated by Children's Hospital. The Department reached an amended agreement with Children's Hospital, since the book item was submitted.

Ms. Rhinehart asked that the Commission approve the amended agreement where Children's Hospital has agreed to reserve 15 parking spaces in their new parking structure for a Park-and-Ride lot, and the parcel to be conveyed by Caltrans would be reduced by 8,000 square feet. The Department would receive \$1,064,000, as a result. The return to state is \$1,064,000, as noted on the pink – "Changes to CTC Agenda."

Executive Director John Barna agreed with the Caltrans recommendation and noted with this new agreement, the State is receiving an additional \$205,000 in value from the 15 parking spaces being reserved for a park-and-ride patrons.

Ms. Barbara Ryan and Mr. Chris Jacobi, from Children's Hospital, urged the Commission to support this amended agreement, as presented by Ms. Rhinehart, which would be beneficial to both Children's Hospital and to the State.

The Commissioners commended all parties for reaching a suitable agreement that benefits the San Diego region, Caltrans, and the State.

Commissioner Chalker moved to approve the Director's Deed, as modified. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioners Zarian, Alvarado, and Torres were absent)

**91.** • State and Federal Legislative Matters 4.1

\*The Commission may consider bills or resolutions newly introduced, recently amended, or calendared for Legislative committee or floor action, subsequent to publication of this agenda. For further information, call Executive Director John Barna at (916) 654-4245.

Deputy Director Robert Chung reported on the following bills and provided staff's recommendation:

SB 1282 – (Ducheny) Coordinated Border Infrastructure Program (Support)

AB 1550 – (Arambula) California Transportation Commission: membership criteria (Watch)

AB 2361 – (Huff) Transportation: federal funds: border infrastructure (Oppose)

SB 1694 – (Aanestad) Transportation: federal funds: allocation to counties. (Watch)

AB 1617– (Liu) 710 Freeway Surplus Property (Support, if amended)

SB 1703 – (Lowenthal) California Transportation Commission: appointing branch of government (Watch)

Executive Director John Barna also recommended the Commission support SB 1812 (Runner).

<u>Tab # /</u>	Item Description	Ref. #
<u>Time</u>		

Commissioner Tavaglione moved to approve staff recommendations, as follows: Support SB 1282, support SB 1812, support, if amended AB 1617, oppose AB 2361; and continue to watch, AB 1550, SB 1694 and SB 1703. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 6-0-1. (Commissioner Zarian abstained and Commissioners Alvarado and Torres were absent.

• Send letters to bill authors expressing the Commission's actions on the following: Support SB 1282, support SB 1812, support if amended AB 1617, and oppose AB 2361.

**ACTION: Robert Chung** 

#### Time Extension Requests (#92 - 98)

Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for 2.8a.(1)
 Locally-Administered STIP projects totaling \$31,436,000.

Waiver-06-12

Deputy Director Stephen Maller reviewed items #92 through #98 and recommended the Commission grant the time extensions, as requested, with the following changes and/or recommendations:

Project #1 – Red Bluff - Requesting 18 month extension (Deny)

Project #5 – San Luis Obispo – Requesting 18 month extension, (Recommend 12 months)

Project #9 and #10 – Thousand Oak and Ventura – Requesting 20 month extension (Recommend 12 months)

Project #13 - Clerical error - Should read 12 month extension instead of 6 months

Dale Ramey, San Luis Obispo Public Works Department, stated they cannot complete the environmental review in 12 months and need the 18 month extension, as requested. Mr. Maller responded that this was a failure in planning on their part and not an unforeseen and extraordinary circumstance beyond their control. Sensitive environmental issues should be anticipated when building a bridge through a wetlands area. Mr. Maller stated reserving dollars cannot be justified when other projects are ready to go and the Commission can't allocate funds to them.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to approve the extensions, as recommended by staff. Commissioner Tavaglione seconded the motion, which carried 6-0-1. (Commissioner Zarian abstained and Commissioner Alvarado and Torres were absent)

• Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for One local STIP project for the City of Vallejo for \$425,000. Waiver-06-13

Deputy Director Stephen Maller reviewed Item #93 and recommended the Commission grant only a 12-month extension instead of the 16-month extension that was requested.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to approve staff's recommendation of a 12-month extension. Commissioner Tavaglione seconded the motion, which carried 6-0-1. (Commissioner Zarian abstained and Commissioner Alvarado and Torres were absent)

• Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for One Intercity Rail STIP project on the Pacific Surfliner Route for \$1,000,000.

Waiver-06-14

Deputy Director Stephen Maller reviewed Items #94 through #98 and recommended approval.

<u>Tab # /</u>	Item Description	Ref. #
<u>Time</u>		

Commissioner Lindsey moved to approve the extensions, as recommended by staff. Commissioner Tavaglione seconded the motion, which carried 6-0-1. (Commissioner Zarian abstained and Commissioner Alvarado and Torres were absent)

**95.** • Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for 2.8b.(1)

Five Locally-Administered STIP projects totaling

\$3,419,868. Waiver-06-15

See Item #94.

**96.** • Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for 2.8b.(2)

Ten Nine SHOPP Projects totaling \$195,407,000 \$195,404,936 and Two One STIP projects totaling \$12,882,000 \$12,874,378, per FY 2005-06 Allocation

Plan Criteria. Waiver-06-16

See Item #94. Projects #2 and #3 were withdrawn prior to the Commission meeting, as noted on the pink – "Changes to CTC Agenda."

**97.** • Request to Extend the Period of Project Completion for 2.8c.

One local STIP project for the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority for \$237,000.

Waiver-06-18

See Item #94.

**98.** • Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for 2.8b.(3)

Three TCRP Projects totaling \$75,879,000, per FY

2005-06 Allocation Plan Criteria.

Wavier 06-17

See Item #94.

#### OTHER MATTERS / PUBLIC COMMENT

 Regarding Commissioner Ghielmetti's comments about the lawsuit involving Caltrans and the City of Elk Grove, review at the Commissioner's retreat the need for a fair and equitable policy and return for discussion at a future Commission meeting. (Future Agenda Item)

**ACTION: John Barna** 

6.

#### **ADJOURN**

The meeting of the California Transportation Commission adjourned at 12:37 p.m.

JOHN F. BARNA, Jr., Executive Director

# STATUS OF ACTION ITEMS

#### From

### April 26-27, 2006 Commission Meeting

- 1 (1.1) <u>Mary Lea Filbert</u> Make all updates to rosters, letterhead, website, etc. as needed for the two newly appointed Commissioners Larry Zarian and Robert Alvarado.
  - This has been done.
- 2 (1.2) <u>Terri Rosnow</u> Correct minutes on Page 20, as adopted at the April Commission meeting, to replace "annul" with the word "rescind".
  - This has been done.
- 6 (4.3a) <u>John Barna</u> Meet with City of Clovis Mayor, Harry Armstrong, regarding possible solutions for Highway 180 East in Fresno County.
  - This will be done.
- 6 (4.3a) Ross Chittenden/David Brewer At the July Commission meeting present Public Transportation Account (PTA) Amendments for notice and possible adoption at the September Commission meeting. (July and September Agenda Items)
  - This will be done and reported on at the July and September 2006 Commission meetings.
- 7 (5.2) <u>Alan McCuen/Carol Whiteside</u> Report back every six months regarding the status of the Route 99 Business Plan. (Biannual November-December/June-July Agenda Items)
  - This will be done and reported on a biannual basis at the Commission meetings.
- 7 (5.2) <u>John Barna</u> Request the Route 99 Task Force to share Rest Stop competition design ideas with the Commission and Caltrans.
  - This will be done.
- 11 (4.8) <u>Robert Chung</u> Request that the Department report back by letter to Commissioners and staff regarding the Department of Finance's revisions and approval of Caltrans' Five-Year Capital Plan.
  - This will be done.
- 19 (4.4) Ross Chittenden/Annette Gilbertson Distribute copies of the Revised TCRP Guidelines to applicant agencies and interested parties.
  - This has been done.
- Norma Ortega With the beginning of the new Fiscal Year, report quarterly instead of monthly for the Finance Report and report at the same time as all the other quarterly reports, or if no meeting is scheduled provide a quarterly report within 45 days of the end of quarter. Also, report back on a monthly basis if any significant changes occur before the end of quarter. (Ouarterly Agenda Item)
  - This will be done and reported on a quarterly basis at the Commission meetings.

- 23 (3.3a) Ross Chittenden In future status Report on Construction Contract Award for State Highway Projects, include in the Table the total dollars of voted projects.
  - This will be done.
- 24 (3.3b) <u>Stephen Maller/Terry Abbott/Ross Chittenden/Robert Chung</u> In order to track and flag upcoming extension requests, place all 4-month and 6-month warning reports and actual extension requests together on the agenda.
  - This will be done.
- 91 (4.1) Robert Chung Send letters to bill authors expressing the Commission's actions on the following: Support on SB 1282, Support on SB 1812, Support if Amended on AB 1617, Oppose on AB 2361; and continue to watch SB 1694 and SB 1703.
  - This has been done.

#### Other

- Matters (6.) <u>John Barna</u> Regarding Commissioner Ghielmetti's comments about the lawsuit involving Caltrans and the City of Elk Grove, review at the Commissioner's retreat the need for a fair and equitable policy and return for discussion at a future Commission meeting. (Future Agenda Item)
  - This will be done and reported on at a future Commission meeting.